Forensic pathology

Not just for popular TV shows

Forensic pathology is not your average medical specialty. Made popular by crime scene investigation TV shows over the decades, from Quincy to CSI, it is a fascinating specialty for those interested in the medical field. The origins of forensic pathology, however, date back to 44 BCE when a Roman physician named Antistius performed the first forensic autopsy on Julius Caesar. Caesar was stabbed twenty-three times which led to his death, but Antistius’ autopsy revealed that only one of the twenty-three stab wounds was fatal. Antistius reported his findings in the Roman forum, from whence we get the term “forensic”.

Determining the cause of death is essential for the closure of families and the dignity of the deceased, and for the safety and health of the public by assisting the criminal justice system and revealing causes of premature death. The work of forensic pathologists has led to laws for the requirement of driver’s licences, handgun laws, regulations for infant cribs to have certain spacing between slats, and even the implementation of collapsible steering wheel columns.

THE ORIGINS OF FORENSIC PATHOLOGY

Dr Victor Weedn has practiced, taught, and provided professional leadership in the field of forensic pathology for over thirty years. Until recently, he was Professor at the Department of Forensic Science and a Professional Lecturer in Law at George Washington University. He continues to share his expertise as Chief Medical Examiner for the State of Maryland. Dr Weedn believes that there is a need for more fresh recruits in this interesting field of forensic pathology globally, and he works toward attracting medical students into forensic pathology through his advocacy and publications relating to all aspects of this field.

Dr Weedn writes about the history of the autopsy in the latest edition of Autopsy in the 21st Century. He explains how this role developed through history and shares that autopsies for forensic purposes generally began in Bologna in the 13th century. English coroners, created in 1194, did not perform autopsies, but merely ruled on the cause of death so that the King might levy a murder fine (lex mortuorum) — among many other duties that faded with time. The coroner system was adopted by the British colonies in America. By 1877, lay coroners were replaced by physicians in Massachusetts and the term ‘medical examiners’ came into use for this role. In 1915, New York City appointed Dr Charles Norris as Chief Medical Officer to establish the first truly modern medical examiner’s office. Part of Dr Norris’ success was from his establishment of a toxicology laboratory as a key component of his office from the outset. Despite these early origins, the term “forensic pathology” wasn’t coined until 1942 and it wasn’t until 1956 that forensic pathology was recognised as a medical specialty by the American Board of Medical Specialties. The first board certifications in forensic pathology were issued by the American Board of Pathology in 1959.

CORONERS VS. FORENSIC PATHOLOGISTS

Both coroners and forensic pathologists are responsible for finding the cause and manner of death, the latter being the familiar nosologic classification of homicide, suicide, accident, natural, and undetermined. The medicolegal (forensic) autopsy is the medical procedure by which forensic pathologists determine cause and manner of death which is relied upon by medical examiners and coroners in the completion of the death certificate. Today, approximately half of the U.S. is served by coroners and half by forensic pathologists working as medical examiners.

In the U.S., the role of a coroner varies between states but generally speaking, a coroner is an elected official. You’d be forgiven to think that a coroner is medically trained; in reality, this is generally not the case. Unlike the coroners in England that are professionals, requiring a law degree, a medical degree or both, in the U.S. coroners are typically elected and do not need a college degree or any experience in medicolegal death investigation, whatsoever. Few states require coroners to be physicians, but not necessarily forensic pathologists, and in some states, coroners are appointed. Typically, a coroner is not a licensed physician and cannot perform an autopsy, so they act as medicolegal death investigators — but they retain the legal ability to sign the death certificate. All coroners are county-based and most are rural. A few large coroner offices function similar to a medical examiner office.

Medical examiner’s offices are headed by board-certified forensic pathologist professionals. Forensic pathology requires more training and education than a family practitioner. Medical examiner offices may be at city, county, regional, or state level. Sixteen states have a centralised state medical examiner system. As valuable as the autopsy is, it is still not always possible to determine the cause and manner of death by autopsy alone. Investigative fieldwork is vital to draw the complete picture. Most medical examiner’s offices rely on their own professional medicolegal death investigators, but others must rely on coroners, who often lack training and need not heed the advice of the medical examiner.

HOW RELEVANT ARE MEDICOLEGAL DEATH INVESTIGATIONS TODAY?

State statutes define the type of system and the type of cases that will be investigated. Most deaths are natural and the patient’s doctor will certify the death without the need for investigation, but deaths that are not under the care of a physician often require a comprehensive medicolegal death investigation for accurate designation of cause and manner of death. The classes of death warranting a complete medicolegal death investigation include, but are not limited to, sudden and unexpected deaths, violent deaths or deaths resulting from suspected violence, deaths in custody, or deaths from unusual or unexplainable circumstances. The broad and varied nature of types of death requiring investigation demonstrates the relevance and importance of the medicolegal death investigation authority.

The work of forensic pathologists has led to laws for the requirement of driver’s licences, regulations for infant cribs to have certain spacing between slats and even the implementation of collapsible steering wheel columns.
A death that warrants a medicolegal investigation not only provides answers to important questions for families of the victims; it also brings justice to society, is vital to public safety and health as well as homeland security and civil administration. Forensic pathologists often give critical testimony in homicides. They provide vital statistics that help policymakers and government leadership. They may recognize the outbreak of an infectious disease or the dangers of a consumer product. They sign death certificates that allow families to collect insurance claims.

It has been estimated that the U.S. needs approximately twice the current number of forensic pathologists to fulfill practice demands, but those estimates were set down before the opioid crisis which has strained the system to the breaking point. Billions of dollars have been spent by the federal government and states to prevent, interdict, and treat opioid overdoses, but almost none of it has gone to the medicolegal death investigation community that is responsible for the investigation and diagnosis of drug overdoses. Some funds should be spent on understanding the crisis through the expertise, findings, and data of forensic pathology. Through the coronavirus pandemic, the opioid crisis has continued to escalate. The pandemic itself has also contributed to the caseload of medical examiner and coroner offices, primarily as increased deaths.

**HOW CAN FORENSIC PATHOLOGY BE REVIVED?**

Despite their crucial role in society, forensic pathologists are often overlooked and chronically underfunded. With a need of hundreds more forensic pathologists, only around 30 new board-certified forensic pathologists join the field every year in the U.S. Dr Weedn sees this as a stark statistic. One reason for the inadequate workforce are the lower salaries of forensic pathologists compared to hospital pathologists. He states that his chosen profession requires an extra year of training, but then loses tens of thousands of dollars in salary ever year. Still, he observes that, as demand is increasing, wages are being driven up. A lack of exposure to forensic pathology for medical students is another reason for the low number of new recruits.

Pathology is not taught in medical schools as it had been historically taught, and this change in emphases and curricula has resulted in fewer medical students going into pathology. Furthermore, the pathology residency programs have decreased the autopsy exposure. Many academic pathologists, often with little knowledge of forensic pathology themselves, actively discourage pathology residents from going into forensic pathology. Dr Weedn notes there is no federal funding for hospital autopsies and they have virtually disappeared. He believes “funding for autopsies would rekindle interest in hospital autopsies and strengthen the forensic pathology workforce pipeline.”

Dr Weedn is asked to reflect upon the one message he would pass on to medical students and young professionals in the process of discerning a career in forensic pathology. Dr Weedn cites to the incredibly wide, diverse, and fascinating opportunities that forensic pathology has brought to him. As a forensic pathologist, Dr Weedn established the Armed Forces DNA Identification Laboratory (AFDIL), a forensic laboratory that stores DNA samples from all current military personnel and uses them to identify the war dead and other casualties. He was also on the OJ Simpson expert witness list for the prosecution. Dr Weedn autopsied bodies exhumed from mass graves to expose atrocities of a foreign regime. He declares, “My career in forensic pathology has provided opportunities that most people might only dream of, including flying airplanes, inspecting coal mines, working anthropology digs, and being interviewed on CNN.”

Forensic pathology thrives at the intersection of medicine, public health, and law. Each day brings new challenges and opportunities. It is truly a unique field that really does ‘help people’ and impacts society broadly – ‘everything medicine that really does ‘help people’ and impacts society broadly’ – everything medicine that really does ‘help people’ and impacts society broadly.
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**Personal Response**

Medical jurisprudence is an interesting subject which brings forensic science and the role of the medicolegal teams to the public through popular TV and print. However, real-life cases that are televised and covered by the press are all the more intriguing to the public, and there must be added pressure on the forensic pathologists when the world is their audience. Is this something you have experienced in your career?

I have felt the weight of my certification of a death as accident or suicide for a company that hung in the balance. I have felt the weight of my certification of death for a murder suspect, who has claimed his innocence. I have felt the joy in recognising a heritable disease that affected the health of other family members. I have felt the joy of recognising an unsafe product or practice that led to changes that surely prevented other deaths. I will go to my grave knowing that I made a difference in the world.